

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/659,577	09/10/2003	Lawrence T. Drzal	MSU 4.1-588	4666
21036 7590 02/02/2010 IAN C. McLEOD, P.C. 2190 COMMONS PARKWAY			EXAMINER	
			NILAND, PATRICK DENNIS	
OKEMOS, MI 48864			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/02/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Symmetry	10/659,577	DRZAL ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Patrick D. Niland	1796				
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Estensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.15 - If NO period for reply is a specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply with the set or extended period for reply with 19 yet abute. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing agenced patent term adjustment. See 37 CPR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim 11 apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 November 2009.						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b) This	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-4,7,8,10-15,19,20,22,23,25-28 and 33 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 1-4,7.8,10-15,19,20,22,23,25-28 and 33 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	г.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Drafts person's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/659,577 Page 2

Art Unit: 1796

DETAILED ACTION

 The amendment of 11/9/09 has been entered. Claims 1-4, 7-8, 10-15, 19-20, 22-23, 25-28 and 33 are pending.

2. The amendment filed 5/10/07 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132(a) because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132(a) states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: The recitation added to the abstract of "up to five minutes so that there is not significant order between the nanoplatelets compared to a precursor graphite" does not find support for the entirety of the newly recited range, i.e. the full scope of "up to five minutes", in the originally filed specification nor for "so that there is not significant order between the nanoplatelets compared to a precursor graphite", even at the sections cited by the applicant. This rejection is maintained for the reasons stated above and the applicant has not distinctly pointed out how the rejection is incorrect nor where to find support for the range discussed above. 3-5 minutes is noted in the originally filed specification but "up to five minutes" is not seen the newly added scope is new matter. This range is clearly broader than 3-5 minutes or any range disclosed in the originally filed specification. Thus, it is unclear what is confusing to the applicant in this regard.

This rejection is based on the amendment to the abstract, not the claims. The amendment to the abstract noted above has not been changed. It remains new matter as stated above. The applicant's arguments are not commensurate in scope with the rejection and the recitation objected to in the abstract amendment of 5/10/07. The applicant's arguments under "IV.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/659,577

Art Unit: 1796

Objections are Overcome" does not address this issue. The applicant's arguments have been fully considered but are not persuasive for the above reasons. This objection is maintained.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

- 4. Claims 1-4, 7-8, 10-15, 19-20, 22-23, 25-28 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.
- A. The newly recited "and wherein the precursor graphite is expanded more than graphite treated to 600°C to 1200°C by direct application of heat" does not have basis in the originally filed specification. Paragraphs [0007] and [0069] as well as the entire originally filed disclosure have been considered. The newly presented limitation is not seen therein. The newly claimed recitation of "and wherein the precursor graphite is expanded more than graphite treated to 600°C to 1200°C by direct application of heat" is therefore new matter.
- 5. The prior art does not disclose the instantly claimed combinations of limitations including the newly claimed "and wherein the precursor graphite is expanded more than graphite treated to 600°C to 1200°C by direct application of heat" nor provide rationale to modify the prior art inventions into the instantly claimed inventions.

Application/Control Number: 10/659,577

Art Unit: 1796

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patrick D. Niland whose telephone number is 571-272-1121. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 10 to 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu, can be reached on 571-272-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

Application/Control Number: 10/659,577 Page 5

Art Unit: 1796

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Patrick D Niland/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1796